

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/783,031	MARTIN, CHRISTOPHER	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Aurangzeb Hassan	2182	

**All Participants:**

(1) Aurangzeb Hassan.

(2) Christopher Martin.

**Status of Application:** Non-Final Office Action

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 30 August 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Restricted/Elected Claims discussed Species II 22-27, 29 and 30*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In the original Restriction/Election Response the Applicant elected a Species II but also included claim 1 in the species citing it was generic. The Examiner explained to the applicant that in order to have a proper election of claims, the Applicant must select one of the Species set forth by the Examiner with/without traverse and a selective combination of Species by adding Claim 1 into a different Species would be considered non-responsive. Applicant then agreed to elect a proper Species II comprising Claims 22-27, 29 and 30..